in PC theo

live

But

# The Declining Authority of States

Susan Strange

Today it seems that the heads of governments may be the last to recognise that they Today it seems that the heads of government over national societies and economies that and their ministers have lost the authority over national societies and economies that and their ministers have lost the audionty that they used to have. Their command over outcomes is not what it used to be. Politicians they used to have. Their command over outcomes to economic and social problems. they used to have. Their command over outside they used to have. Their command over outside they have the answers to economic and social problems, as everywhere talk as though they have the answers to economic and social problems, as everywhere talk as though they have the down the leaders of the Soviet II. if they really are in charge of their country of the Soviet Union and Disillusion with national leaders brought down the leaders of the Soviet Union and Disillusion with national leaders blodges the states of central Europe. But the disillusion is by no means confined to socialist the states of central Europe. But the disillusion is by no means confined to socialist the states of central Europe. But the states and for the head of state has grown in most systems. Popular contempt for ministers and for the head of state has grown in most systems. Popular contempt for filming of the United States are leading of the capitalist countries – Italy, Britain, France and the United States are leading examples. Nor is the lack of confidence confined to those in office; opposition parties and their leaders are often no better thought of than those they wish to replace. In the last few years, the cartoonists and the tabloid press have been more bitter, less restrained critics of those in authority in government than at any other time this century. Although there are exceptions - mostly small countries - this seems to be a worldwide phenomenon of the closing years of the twentieth century, more evident in some places than others, but palpable enough to suggest that some common causes lie behind it.

[I write] in the firm belief that the perceptions of ordinary citizens are more to be trusted than the pretensions of national leaders and of the bureaucracies who serve them; that the commonsense of common people is a better guide to understanding than most of the academic theories being taught in universities. The social scientists,

Original publication details: Susan Strange, The Retreat of the State. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996. pp. 3-8, 9-10, 12-14.

The Globalization Reader, Fifth Edition. Edited by Frank J. Lechner and John Boli. Editorial material and organization © 2015 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Published 2015 by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

iticians ems, as them. n and cialist most ading arties n the less this

nat they

ies that

be ve ng S,

be a

lent

ises

in politics and economics especially, cling to obsolete concepts and inappropriate politics and economic periods, cling to obsolete concepts and inappropriate theories. These theories belong to a more stable and orderly world than the one we theories. It was one in which the territorial borders of states really meant something. It has been swept away by a pace of change more rapid than human comething. theories in it was one in the one we give in. It was one in the one we give in has been swept away by a pace of change more rapid than human society had before experienced. but before experienced. for this reason I believe the time has come to reconsider a few of the entrenched

for this reason to the season to the entrenched some academic colleagues in economics, politics, sociology and international political economy has convinced ideas of some actual of international political economy has convinced me that we relations. The study of international relations. These concerns for the study of international relations. These concerns for the study of international relations. have to rethink so international relations. These concern: firstly, the limits of cially of the security; secondly, the nature and sources of power in society; politics as a social politic politic politics as a social politic politic politics as a social politic politic politic politics as a social politic politic politic politics as a social politic polit thirdly, the anarchic nature of international society and the rational conduct of fourthly, the annual society and the rational conduct of states as the unitary actors within that society. The first and second are assumptions states as the difference of the first and second are assumptions commonly taken for granted in political science. The third is an assumption of much commonly target commonly targe liberal, of neo-realist thinking in international relations. Each of these assumptions will be examined more closely later.

But first it may help to outline briefly the argument of the book as a whole. That will show the context in which these more fundamental questions about politics and power arise and have to be reconsidered. The argument put forward is that the impersonal forces of world markets, integrated over the postwar period more by private enterprise in finance, industry and trade than by the cooperative decisions of governments, are now more powerful than the states to whom ultimate political authority over society and economy is supposed to belong.

Where states were once the masters of markets, now it is the markets which, on many crucial issues, are the masters over the governments of states. And the declining authority of states is reflected in a growing diffusion of authority to other institutions and associations, and to local and regional bodies, and in a growing asymmetry between the larger states with structural power and weaker ones without it.

There are, to be sure, some striking paradoxes about this reversal of the statemarket balance of power. One, which disguises from many people the overall decline of state power, is that the intervention of state authority and of the agencies of the state in the daily lives of the citizen appears to be growing. Where once it was left to the individual to look for work, to buy goods or services with caution in case they were unsafe or not what they seemed to be, to build or to pull down houses, to manage family relationships and so on, now governments pass laws, set up inspectorates and planning authorities, provide employment services, enforce customer protection against unclean water, unsafe food, faulty buildings or transport systems. The impression is conveyed that less and less of daily life is immune from the activities and decisions of government bureaucracies.

That is not necessarily inconsistent with my contention that state power is declining. It is less effective on those basic matters that the market, left to itself, has never been able to provide – security against violence, stable money for trade and investment, a clear system of law and the means to enforce it, and a sufficiency of public goods like drains, water supplies, infrastructures for transport and communications. Little

wonder that it is less respected and lacks its erstwhile legitimacy. The need for wonder that it is less respected and lacks its erstwhile legitimacy. The need for wonder that it is less respected and lacks its erstwhile legitimacy. The need for by population of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by population of the two, is the fundamental wonder that it is less respected and lacks its erstward region by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by coercive force or by popular political authori wonder that it is less respected popular political authority of some kind, legitimated either by the fundamental reason for consent, or more often by a combination of the two, is the fundamental reason for consent, or more often by a combination of the two, is the fundamental reason for consent. But many states are coming to be deficient in these fundamental reasons for activity in marginal many states are coming to be deficient in these fundamental reasons for the consent of the c political authority of some combination of the tobe deficient in these fundamental reason for consent, or more often by a combination of the tobe deficient in these fundamentals consent, or more often by a combination of the tobe deficient in these fundamentals consent, or more often by a combination of the tobe deficient in these fundamentals reason for the state's existence. But many states are coming to be deficient in these fundamentals the state's existence. But many states are coming to be deficient in these fundamentals the state's existence. But many states are coming to be deficient in these fundamentals the state's existence. But many states are coming to be deficient in these fundamentals the state's existence. But many states are coming to be deficient in these fundamentals. consent, or more often by the states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence are coming to the state's existence are coming to the state's existence. But many states are coming to the state's existence are coming to the state's existence, but made good by greater actually matters, matters, matters their deficiency is not made good which are not absolutely necessary for the function that are optional for society, and which are of social order. Trivialising government are optional for society, and the maintenance of social order. Their deficiency is not the function of the functioning of the market and the maintenance of social order. Trivialising government tioning of the market and the maintenance of social order. Trivialising government tioning of the market and the maintenance of social order. Trivialising government tioning of the market and the maintenance of social order. Trivialising government to the function of the market and the maintenance of social order.

tioning of the market and the manuferial often, the contrary is true. oning of the market poets not make its authority more respected, of the poets not make its authority more respected, of the second paradox is that while the governments of established states, most respected and western Europe, are suffering this progressive location.

The second paradox is that while the government of scates, most of the second paradox is that while the government of societies that want to have their own state is less of the second paradox is that while the government of societies that want to have their own state is less of the second paradox is that while the government of the second paradox is that while the government of the second paradox is that while the government of the second paradox is that while the government of the second paradox is that while the government of the second paradox is that while the government of the second paradox is that while the government of the second paradox is that while the government of the second paradox is that while the government of the second paradox is that while the government of the second paradox is that while the government of the second paradox is that while the government of the second paradox is that while the government of the second paradox is the second paradox is that while the government of the second paradox is the second paradox notably in North America and western Europe, notably in North America and N real authority, the queue of societies that were forcibly suppressed by the ening. This is true not only of ethnic groups that were forcibly suppressed by the ening. This is true not only of ethnic groups Union. It is true of literally hundreds single-party government of the former Soviet Union. It is true of literally hundreds single-party government of the former soviet Union. single-party government of the tormer solves single-party government of the tormer solves and aboriginal peoples in every part of the world – in Canada and of minorities and aboriginal peoples in the old so-called nation-states of E. of minorities and aboriginal peoples in the old so-called nation-states of Europe. Australia, in India and Africa, even in the old so-called nation-states of Europe. Australia, in India and Africa, even in the description of Europe. Many – perhaps the majority – are suppressed by force, like the Kurds or the Basques. Many – perhaps the majority – are just not strong enough or Many - perhaps the majority - are suppressed.

Others - like the Scots or the Corsicans - are just not strong enough or angry Others - like the Scots or the Colsical Others state. Still others such as the enough to offer a serious challenge to the existing state. Still others such as the enough to offer a serious change to the Flemish are pacified by resource native Americans, the Aboriginals, the Samis or the Flemish are pacified by resource native Americans, the Aboriginals, the some way to meet their perceived need for an transfers or by half-measures that go some way to meet their perceived need for an transfers or by half-measures that go independent identity. Only a few, such as the Greenlanders, the Slovaks or Slovenes or the unwanted, unviable Pacific island-states, have succeeded in getting what they wanted - statehood. But once achieved, it does not seem to give them any real control over the kind of society or the nature of their economy that they might have preferred. In short, the desire for ethnic or cultural autonomy is universal; the political means to satisfy that desire within an integrated world market economy is not. Many, perhaps most, societies have to be content with the mere appearance of autonomy, with a facade of statehood. The struggle for independence has often proved a pyrrhic victory.

The final paradox which can be brought as evidence against my basic contention about the hollowness of state authority at the end of this century is that this is a western, or even an Anglo-Saxon phenomenon, and is refuted by the Asian experience of the state. The Asian state, it is argued, has in fact been the means to achieve economic growth, industrialisation, a modernised infrastructure and rising living standards for the people. Singapore might be the prime example of a strong state achieving economic success. But Japan, Korea and Taiwan are all states which have had strong governments, governments which have successfully used the means to restrict and control foreign trade and foreign investment, and to allocate credit and to guide corporate development in the private sector. Is it not premature - just another instance of Eurocentrism therefore – to assume the declining authority of the state?

There are two answers to this third paradox. One is that all these Asian states were exceptionally fortunate. They profited in three ways from their geographical position on the western frontier of the United States during the Cold War. Their strategic importance in the 1950s and after was such that they could count on generous military and economic aid from the Americans, aid which was combined with their exceptionally high domestic savings and low patterns of consumption. The combination ga for strategic reto conform to mally and thei the foreign fi enterprises. A large, rich U European on available to b of technical which broug Now, I wo

because the pressure from and investm allow more and of other Cold War h been at oth institution contests b private se branches bound to

> The arg as a prir tists are the im chemis 100 yea history some used f to plo than ! over f tion v

> > 1920

phor linki toda

ry. The need for a price or by popular mental reason for the function government is government.

ed states, most gressive loss of tate is length. ressed by the ally hundreds Canada and of Europe. he Basques. h or angry such as the y resource eed for an r Slovenes what they any real y might rsal; the nomy is ance of

westice of omic s for omic

s often

erntrol ate

es al r combination gave a head start to rapid economic development. Secondly, and also for strategic reasons, they could be – almost had to be – exempted from the pressure for some to the norms of the open liberal economy. They were allowed, first formally and then informally, to limit foreign imports and also to restrict the entry of firms that might have proved too strong competitors for their local manufactures. At the same time, they were given relatively open access first to the enterprises. At the same time, they were given relatively open access first to the enterprise of rich US market for manufactures, and later, under some protest, to the large, an one. And thirdly, the technology necessary to their industrialisation was swallable to be bought on the market, either in the form of patents, or in the person of technical advisors from Europe and America or through corporate alliances which brought them the technology without the loss of managerial control.

which brought would argue, these special dispensations are on the way out, and not only Now, I would argue is over. The Asian governments will be under increasing pressure from Washington to adopt more liberal non-discriminatory policies on trade and investment. And they will also be under pressure from within to liberalise and to allow more competition, including foreign competition, for the benefit of consumers and of other producers. In short, the exceptionalism of the Asian state during the Cold War has already been substantially eroded, and will continue to be so. As it has been at other times, and in other places, there will be contests for control over the institutions and agencies of government in most of the Asian countries. There will be contests between factions of political parties, between vested interests both in the private sectors and in the public sector. There will be power struggles between branches of the state bureaucracy. Both the unity and the authority of government is bound to suffer.

# The Neglected Factor - Technology

The argument depends a good deal on the accelerating pace of technological change as a prime cause of the shift in the state-market balance of power. Since social scientists are, not, by definition, natural scientists, they have a strong tendency to overlook the importance of technology which rests, ultimately, on advances in physics, in chemistry and related sciences like nuclear physics or industrial chemistry. In the last 100 years, there has been more rapid technological change than ever before in human history. On this the scientists themselves are generally agreed. It took hundreds - in some places, thousands - of years to domesticate animals so that horses could be used for transport and oxen (later heavy horses) could be used to replace manpower to plough and sow ground for the production of crops in agriculture. It has taken less than 100 years for the car and truck to replace the horse and for aircraft to partly take over from road and rail transport. The electric telegraph as a means of communication was invented in the 1840s and remained the dominant system in Europe until the 1920s. But in the next eighty years, the telegraph gave way to the telephone, the telephone gave way to radio, radio to television and cables to satellites and optic fibres linking computers to other computers. No one under the age of thirty or thirty-five today needs convincing that, just in their own lifetime, the pace of technological

But fundan

it is

pol mo tha W m m ar

change has been getting faster and faster. The technically unsophisticated worlds of change has been getting faster and faster. The technically unsophisticated worlds of change has been getting faster and faster. The technically unsophisticated worlds of change has been getting faster and education of even the 1960s would be unrecognisable of change has been getting faster and faster. The technical worlds would be unrecognisable of business, government and education of even the 1960s would be unrecognisable to business, government and education of extension accessible copiers, no mobile phones to business, government and computers, no accessible networks copped to change has been getting randed education of even the business, government and education of even the business, no mobile phones, no business, government and education of even the business, no mobile phones, no education of even the business, no mobile phones, no business, no cable TV, no satellite networks connecting distance the business, government and education of even the business of the bu business, government and computers, no accession of the middle ages. There is the business, government and computers, no accession of the middle ages. There is the business, no cable TV, no satellite networks connecting distant video shops, no DNA tests, no cable TV, no satellite networks connecting distant video shops, no DNA tests, no cable TV, no satellite networks connecting distant video shops, no DNA tests, no cable TV, no satellite networks connecting distant video shops, no DNA tests, no cable TV, no satellite networks connecting distant video shops, no DNA tests, no cable TV, no satellite networks connecting distant video shops, no DNA tests, no cable TV, no satellite networks connecting distant video shops, no DNA tests, no cable TV, no satellite networks connecting distant video shops, no DNA tests, no cable TV, no satellite networks connecting distant video shops, no DNA tests, no cable TV, no satellite networks connecting distant video shops, no DNA tests, no cable TV, no satellite networks connecting distant video shops, no DNA tests, no cable TV, no satellite networks connecting distant video shops, no DNA tests, no cable TV, no satellite networks connecting distant video shops, no DNA tests, no cable TV, no satellite networks connecting distant video shops, no DNA tests, no cable TV, no satellite networks connecting the transfer of the them. No fax, no personal video shops, no DNA tests, no cable TV, no saturated and distant video shops, no DNA tests, no cable TV, no saturated and shops and processes driver is no real markets, twenty-four hours a day. The world in which their grandparents grew up in markets, twenty-four hours a day. video shops, no Divide a day. The world if the Middle Ages. There is no reason the 1930s or 1940s is as alien to them as that of the Middle Ages, driven by profit the 1930s or 1940s is as alien to them as that of the Middle Ages. There is no reason the 1930s or 1940s is as alien to them as that of the Middle Ages. There is no reason the 1930s or 1940s is as alien to them as that of the Middle Ages. There is no reason the 1930s or 1940s is as alien to them as that of the Middle Ages. There is no reason to the markets, twenty-four hours a day. the 1930s or 1940s is as alien to them as that of the suppose that technological change in products and processes, driven by profit, will to suppose that technological change in future. osuppose usat technique in future.

ot continue to accelerate in future.

This simple, everyday, commonsense fact of modern life is important because it not continue to accelerate in future.

This simple, everyday, commonsense lact of the States and economic change. It illuminates the goes a long way to explaining both political and economic change. It illuminates the goes a long way to explaining both political and economic change. It illuminates the goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explaining both political and goes a long way to explain a long way to goes a long way changes both in the power of states and in the power of sta

nange.
For the sake of clarity, consider first the military aspects of technical change, and For the sake of clarity, consider first the final period of the other. In what then the civilian aspects – although in reality each spills over into the other. In what then the civilian aspects – although no one doubts that the development change. then the civilian aspects – although in reality that the development of the are known as strategic studies circles, no one doubts that the development of the are known as strategic studies circles, no the atom bomb in the middle of the twentieth century, and later of nuclear weapons car. atom bomb in the middle of the twentieth about a major change in the nature of ried by intercontinental missiles, has brought about a major change in the nature of ried by intercontinental missiles, has broad reason for having warfare between states. Mutual assured destruction was a powerful reason for having warfare between states. Mutual assured a good reason for not using them. After the nuclear weapons – but equally it was a good reason for not using them. After the nuclear weapons – but equally it was the began to change. The expectation paradoxical long peace of the Cold war, paradoxical long peace of the Cold war, sooner or later, nuclear war would destroy life on the planet began to moderate. And confidence began to wane that the state could, by a defensive strategy, prevent this happening. Either it would or it wouldn't, and governments could do little to alter the probabilities. Thus, technology had undermined one of the primary reasons for the existence of the state – its capacity to repel attack by others, its responsibility for what Adam Smith called 'the defence of the realm'. [...]

### The Second Neglect – Finance

Not the least of the TNC's attractions to host states is its ability to raise finance both for the investment itself and - even more important - for the development of new technology. Another key part of [my] argument is that, besides the accelerating pace of technological change, there has been an escalation in the capital cost of most technological innovations - in agriculture, in manufacturing and the provision of services, and in new products and in new processes. In all of these, the input of capital has risen while the relative input of labour has fallen. It is this increased cost which has raised the stakes, as it were, in the game of staying up with the competition. This is so whether we look at competition from other firms who are also striving for larger market shares, or whether we look at governments trying to make sure that the economies for whose performance they are held responsible stay up with the competition in wealth-creation coming from other economies. Thus, to the extent that a government can benefit from a TNC's past and future investments without itself bearing the main cost of it, there are strong reasons for forging such alliances.

orlds of isable to ones, no  $\mathrm{d}_{ist_{a_{n_t}}}$ w up in  $re_{a_{SO_{11}}}$ it, will

use it es the m, in or-all

and vhat the car. of ing he on

S

te.

nt

But the escalating costs of technological change are also important for a more But the escalating but the escalating and not just because it explains the also important for a more fundamental reason, and not just because it explains the changing policies of host states and the structure has added to the cost of personal to fundamental reason, and the salience of money in the important for a more fundamental reason, the salience of money in the important for a more fundamental reason, and the salience of money in the important for a more more fundamental reason, and the salience of money in the important for a more fundamental reason, and the salience of money in the important for a more fundamental reason, and the salience of money in the important for a more production structure has added to the salience of money in the important for a more production structure has added to the salience of money in the important for a more production structure has added to the salience of money in the important for a more production structure has added to the salience of money in the important for a more production structure has added to the salience of money in the important for a more production structure has added to the salience of money in the important for a more production structure has added to the salience of money in the important for a more production structure has added to the salience of money in the important for a more production structure has added to the salience of money in the important for a more production structure has a more production structure has a more production structure has a more production structure. fundation for the production structure has added to the salience of money in the international relations for the production are accounted by the production are accounted by the production of t the production state that the salience of money in the international political economy. It is no exaggeration to say that, with a few notable exceptions, and of a salience of money in the international political in international relations for the past half-century have greatly becomes political economy.

scholars in international relations for the past half-century have grossly neglected the political aspects of credit-creation, and of changes in the global financial structure. In political aspects of the special of changes in the global financial structure. In much theorising about international relations or even international political economy is no mention at all of the financial structure (as distinct from the content of the content much theorising and the financial structure (as distinct from the international political economy there is no mention at all of the financial structure (as distinct from the international content of national content of nationa there is no metal economy there is no metal governing the exchange relations of national currencies.) Briefly, the monetary order general costs of new technologies could not have been covered at all without, escalating capital escalating capital changes in the volume and nature of credit created by firstly, some very
the capitalist market economy; and secondly, without the added mobility that in recent the capitalist that the capitalist has characterised that created credit. The supply of capital to finance technologyears has characteristical innovation (and for other purposes) has been as important in the international ical innovation in the innovation in the international political economy as the demand from the innovators for more money to produce ever political economic political eco

These supply and demand changes take place, and take effect, in the market. And it is markets, rather than state-state relations that many leading texts in international political economy tend to overlook. Much more emphasis is put on international monetary relations between governments and their national currencies. To the extent that attention is paid at all to the institutions creating and marketing credit in the world economy, they are held to be important chiefly for the increased volatility they may cause to exchange rates, or to the impact they may have on the ability of governments to borrow abroad to finance development or the shortfall between revenue and spending, or between export earnings and import bills. [...]

## Politics, Power and Legitimacy

There are three premises underlying [my] argument. Each relates directly to - indeed, challenges - some of the conventional assumptions of economics, social and political science and international relations. The first premise is that politics is a common activity; it is not confined to politicians and their officials. The second is that power over outcomes is exercised impersonally by markets and often unintentionally by those who buy and sell and deal in markets. The third is that authority in society and over economic transactions is legitimately exercised by agents other than states, and has come to be freely acknowledged by those who are subject to it.

[...] dealing with recent changes in international political economy, readers will encounter three general propositions about the patterns of legitimate authority now developing in the international political economy towards the end of the twentieth century. One is that there is growing asymmetry among allegedly sovereign states in the authority they exercise in society and economy. In international relations, back to Thucydides, there has always been some recognition of a difference between small states and great powers, in the way each behaves to others and in the options available

to them in their relations with other states. But there has been a tendency all along to them in their relations with other states. But there has been a tendency all along to them in their relations with other states. But there has been a tendency all along to them in their relations within their respective. to them in their relations with other states. But the control with other states of the control while to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and effectiveness of the control while to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and effectiveness of the control while to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and effectiveness of the control while to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and effectiveness of the control while to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and effectiveness of the control while to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and effectiveness of the control while to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and effectiveness of the control while to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and effectiveness of the control while to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and effectiveness of the control while to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and effectiveness of the control while to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and effectiveness of the control while the control w to them in their relations with the nature and the control while to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and economic relations within their respective territory to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and economic relations within their respective territory to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and their respective territory to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and their respective territory to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and their respective territory to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and their respective territory to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and their respective territory to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and their respective territory to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and their respective territory to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and their respective territory to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and their respective territory to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and their respective territory to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and their respective territory to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and their respective territory to assume a certain uniformity in the nature and their respective territory to a certain uniformity in the nature and their respective territory to a certain uniformity and their respective territory to a certain uniformity the nature and their respective territory to a certain uniformity the nature and their respective territory to a certain uniformity the nature and to assume a certain united to assume a certain u each state has over social of domestic soveregations, were assumed boundaries. The attributes of domestic soveregation accorded each state by its peers. Now, I shall automatically to go with the regulation accorded. What was regarded as an experience can no longer be sustained. automatically to go with the regulation accorded. What was regarded as an exceptangue, that assumption can no longer be sustained. What was regarded as an exceptangue, that assumption in 1945 the United States conceded two extra votes in the conceder. automatically to go when a longer be sustained as an exceptional anomaly when in 1945 the United States conceded two extra votes in the United States conceded t argue, that assumption 1945 the United States for the 'sovereign' republic of the United States anomaly when in 1945 the United States for the 'sovereign' republic of the General Assembly for the Soviet Union – one for the 'sovereign' republic of the General Assembly for the Soviet Union – one for the sole of the sol General Assembly for the Soviet Union — on the General Assembly for the Soviet Union — of the Ukraine and one for Byelorussia — now hardly attracts comment. The micro-states of Ukraine and one for Byelorussia — now hardly attracts comment. The micro-states of the Ukraine and one for Byelorussia — now hardly attracts comment. The micro-states of the Ukraine and one for Byelorussia — now hardly attracts comment. The micro-states of the Ukraine and one for Byelorussia — now hardly attracts comment. Ukraine and one for Byelorussia – now hard Vanuatu and the Republic of San Marino are admitted to the select circle of member.

Vanuatu and the Republic of San Marino one really believes that recognition of the select circle of member. Vanuatu and the Republic of San Marino are valued believes that recognition of their states of the United Nations. But no one really believes that recognition of their states of the United Nations. states of the United Nations. But no one states of the United Nations of the States of the United Nations. But no one states of the United Nations of the United Nations of the States of the United Nations of the United Nati 'sovereignty' is more than a courteous preceded for the smaller and poorer members of difference of degree between these and many of the smaller and poorer members of difference of degree between these and many of the smaller and poorer members of difference of degree between these and many of the smaller and poorer members of difference of degree between these and the degree between the d The second proposition is that the authority of the governments of all states, large

The second proposition is that the address, large and small, strong and weak, has been weakened as a result of technological and finance and small, strong and weak, has been weakened as a result of technological and finance and integration of national economies into and small, strong and weak, nas been weakened integration of national economies into one single cial change and of the accelerated integration of national economy to global market economy. Their failure to manage the national economy, to maintain global market economy. Then failure and global market economic growth, to avoid imbalances of payments with other states, to control the rate of interest and the exchange rate is not a matter of technical incompetence, nor moral turpitude nor political maladroitness. It is neither in any direct sense their fault, nor the fault of others. None of these failures can be blamed on other countries or on other governments. They are, simply, the victims of the market economy.

The third proposition complements the second. It is that some of the fundamental responsibilities of the state in a market economy – responsibilities first recognised, described and discussed at considerable length by Adam Smith over 200 years agoare not now being adequately discharged by anyone. At the heart of the international political economy, there is a vacuum, a vacuum not adequately filled by intergovernmental institutions or by a hegemonic power exercising leadership in the common interest. The polarisation of states between those who retain some control over their destinies and those who are effectively incapable of exercising any such control does not add up to a zero-sum game. What some have lost, others have not gained. The diffusion of authority away from national governments has left a yawning hole of non-authority, ungovernance it might be called. [...]