ical will

ading

the

ties

d

The Clash of Civilizations?

Samuel P. Huntington

The Next Pattern of Conflict

World politics is entering a new phase, and intellectuals have not hesitated to proliferate visions of what it will be - the end of history, the return of traditional rivalries between nation states, and the decline of the nation state from the conflicting pulls of tribalism and globalism, among others. Each of these visions catches aspects of the emerging reality. Yet they all miss a crucial, indeed a central, aspect of what global politics is likely to be in the coming years.

It is my hypothesis that the fundamental source of conflict in this new world will not be primarily ideological or primarily economic. The great divisions among humankind and the dominating source of conflict will be cultural. Nation states will remain the most powerful actors in world affairs, but the principal conflicts of global politics will occur between nations and groups of different civilizations. The clash of civilizations will dominate global politics. The fault lines between civilizations will be the battle lines of the future.

Conflict between civilizations will be the latest phase in the evolution of conflict in the modern world. For a century and a half after the emergence of the modern international system with the Peace of Westphalia, the conflicts of the Western world were largely among princes - emperors, absolute monarchs and constitutional monarchs attempting to expand their bureaucracies, their armies, their mercantilist economic strength and, most important, the territory they ruled. In the process they

Original publication details: Samuel P. Huntington, "The Clash of Civilizations?" in Foreign Affairs, 72, 3, Summer 1993. pp. 22-3, 25-32, 39-41, 49. Reproduced with permission from Foreign Affairs.

The Globalization Reader, Fifth Edition. Edited by Frank J. Lechner and John Boli.

created nation states, and beginning with the French Revolution the principal lines of peoples had beginning "To between nations rather than princes. In 1793, as R. R. Palmer of peoples had beginn "To between nations rather than princes." created nation states, and beginning the conflict were between nations rather than princes. In 1793, as R. R. Palmer put it conflict were between over; the wars of peoples had begun." This ninetees the conflict were over; the wars of peoples had begun." conflict were between nations rather of peoples had begun." This nineteenth "The wars of kings were over; the wars of World War I. Then, as a result of the Russian Conflict were lested until the end of World War I. Then, as a result of the Russian Russia "The wars of kings were over, the "The wars of kings were over, the world War I. Then, as a result of the Russian century pattern lasted until the end of World War I. Then, as a result of the Russian century pattern lasted until the reaction against it, the conflict of nations yielded to the conflict." century pattern lasted until the end of the Russian Revolution and the reaction against it, the conflict of nations yielded to the conflict of Revolution and the reaction against it, the conflict of nations yielded to the conflict of Revolution and the reaction against it, the conflict of nations yielded to the conflict of Russian Revolution and the reaction against it, the conflict of nations yielded to the conflict of nations yiel Revolution and the reaction against, fascism-Nazism and liberal democracy, ideologies, first among communism, fascism-Nazism and liberal democracy. During the Cold War, this and ideologies, first among communism, and liberal democracy. During the Cold War, this latter then between communism and liberal democracy the two superpowers. then between communish and he struggle between the two superpowers, neither of conflict became embodied in the struggle between sense and each of which do conflict became embodied in the states of which was a nation state in the classical European sense and each of which defined its identity in terms of its ideology.

These conflicts between princes, nation states and ideologies were primarily conflicts within Western civilization, "Western civil wars," as William Lind has labeled them. This was as true of the Cold War as it was of the world wars and the earlier wars of the seventeenth, eighteenth and nineteenth centuries. With the end of the Cold War, international politics moves out of its Western phase, and its centerpiece becomes the interaction between the West and non-Western civilizations and among non-Western civilizations. In the politics of civilizations, the peoples and governments of non-Western civilizations no longer remain the objects of history as targets of Western colonialism but join the West as movers and shapers of history. [...]

Why Civilizations Will Clash

Civilization identity will be increasingly important in the future, and the world will be shaped in large measure by the interactions among seven or eight major civilizations. These include Western, Confucian, Japanese, Islamic, Hindu, Slavic-Orthodox, Latin American and possibly African civilizations. The most important conflicts of the future will occur along the cultural fault lines separating these civilizations from

Why will this be the case?

First, differences among civilizations are not only real; they are basic. Civilizations are differentiated from each other by history, language, culture, tradition and, most important, religion. The people of different civilizations have different views on the relations between God and man, the individual and the group, the citizen and the state, parents and children, husband and wife, as well as differing views of the relative importance of rights and responsibilities, liberty and authority, equality and hierarchy. These differences are the product of centuries. They will not soon disappear. They are far more fundamental than differences among political ideologies and political regimes. Differences do not necessarily mean conflict, and conflict does not necessarily mean violence. Over the centuries, however, differences among civilizations have generated the most prolonged and the most violent

Second, the world is becoming a smaller place. The interactions between peoples of different civilizations are increasing; these increasing interactions intensify civilization consciousness and awareness of differences between civilizations and commonalities wi hostility among F tion by "good" I Japanese investr tries. Similarly, a Ibo or an Onits simply an Ibo. I actions among of people that, to stretch back Third, the

the world are the nation sta fill this gap, movements well as in Isl talist moves and busine remarked, The reviva basis for

civilizatio Fourtl the Wes ever, an non-We turning "Hind and he

> versus fronts the v In

> > mos San por no tio

the principal lines of R. R. Palmer put it, This nineteenth. It to the conflict of democracy, and Owers, neither of which defined its

e primarily condind has labeled and the earlier the end of the its centerpiece ons and among and governatory as targets ory. [...]

world will ior civiliza-Orthodox, onflicts of ions from

ilizations
ion and,
int views
citizen
riews of
equality
of soon
ideoloit, and
differiolent

oples y civand commonalities within civilizations. North African immigration to France generates hostility among Frenchmen and at the same time increased receptivity to immigration by "good" European Catholic Poles. Americans react far more negatively to Japanese investment than to larger investments from Canada and European counties. Similarly, as Donald Horowitz has pointed out, "An Ibo may be ... an Owerri bo or an Onitsha Ibo in what was the Eastern region of Nigeria. In Lagos, he is an Ibo. In London, he is a Nigerian. In New York, he is an African." The intersections among peoples of different civilizations enhance the civilization-consciousness to stretch back deep into history.

Third, the processes of economic modernization and social change throughout the world are separating people from longstanding local identities. They also weaken the nation state as a source of identity. In much of the world religion has moved in to fill this gap, often in the form of movements that are labeled "fundamentalist." Such movements are found in Western Christianity, Judaism, Buddhism and Hinduism, as well as in Islam. In most countries and most religions the people active in fundamentalist movements are young, college-educated, middle-class technicians, professionals and business persons. The "unsecularization of the world," George Weigel has remarked, "is one of the dominant social facts of life in the late twentieth century." The revival of religion, "la revanche de Dieu," as Gilles Kepel labeled it, provides a basis for identity and commitment that transcends national boundaries and unites civilizations.

Fourth, the growth of civilization-consciousness is enhanced by the dual role of the West. On the one hand, the West is at a peak of power. At the same time, however, and perhaps as a result, a return to the roots phenomenon is occurring among non-Western civilizations. Increasingly one hears references to trends toward a turning inward and "Asianization" in Japan, the end of the Nehru legacy and the "Hinduization" of India, the failure of Western ideas of socialism and nationalism and hence "re-Islamization" of the Middle East, and now a debate over Westernization versus Russianization in Boris Yeltsin's country. A West at the peak of its power confronts non-Wests that increasingly have the desire, the will and the resources to shape the world in non-Western ways.

In the past, the elites of non-Western societies were usually the people who were most involved with the West, had been educated at Oxford, the Sorbonne or Sandhurst, and had absorbed Western attitudes and values. At the same time, the populace in non-Western countries often remained deeply imbued with the indigenous culture. Now, however, these relationships are being reversed. A de-Westernization and indigenization of elites is occurring in many non-Western countries at the same time that Western, usually American, cultures, styles and habits become more popular among the mass of the people.

Fifth, cultural characteristics and differences are less mutable and hence less easily compromised and resolved than political and economic ones. In the former Soviet Union, communists can become democrats, the rich can become poor and the poor rich, but Russians cannot become Estonians and Azeris cannot become Armenians. In class and ideological conflicts, the key question was "Which side are you on?" and people could and did choose sides and change sides. In conflicts between civilizations,

the question is "What are you?" That is a given that cannot be changed. And as the question is "What are you?" That is a given that cannot be changed. And as the question is "What are you?" That is a given that cannot be changed. And as the question is "What are you?" That is a given that cannot be changed. And as the question is "What are you?" That is a given that cannot be changed. And as the question is "What are you?" That is a given that cannot be changed. And as the question is "What are you?" That is a given that cannot be changed. And as the question is "What are you?" That is a given that cannot be changed. And as the question is "What are you?" That is a given that cannot be changed. And as the question is "What are you?" That is a given that cannot be changed. And as the question is "What are you?" That is a given that cannot be changed. And as the question is "What are you?" That is a given that cannot be changed. And as the question is "What are you?" That is a given that cannot be changed. And as the question is the question is the question in the question in the question is the questio the question is "What are you? That is good the Sudan, the wrong answer to that question know, from Bosnia to the Caucasus to the Sudan, the wrong answer to that question know, from Bosnia to the Caucasus to the Sudan, the wrong answer to that question know, from Bosnia to the Caucasus to the Sudan, the wrong answer to that question know, from Bosnia to the Caucasus to the Sudan, the wrong answer to that question as hullet in the head. Even more than ethnicity, religion discriminates shared as the caucasus to the Sudan, the wrong answer to that question is "What are you?" I have been more than ethnicity, religion discriminates shared as the caucasus to the Sudan, the wrong answer to that question is "What are you?" I have been more than ethnicity, religion discriminates shared as the caucasus to the Sudan is the caucasus to the caucasus to the sudan is the caucasus to the question at the Caucasus to the Caucasus to the know, from Bosnia know, non-can mean a bullet in the head. Even more can be half-French and half-Arab and single and exclusively among people. A person can be half-French and half-Arab and single and exclusively among people. It is more difficult to be half-Catholic and exclusively among people. A person and exclusively am alf-Muslim.

Finally, economic regionalism is increasing. The proportions of total trade that the proportion of total tra half-Muslim.

Finally, economic regionalism is and 1989 from 51 percent to 59 percent in East Asia, and 32 percent to 36 percent in his were intraregional rose between 1992 were intraregional rose between 1992 percent in East Asia, and 32 percent to 36 percent in North Europe, 33 percent to 37 percent in Rose in Europe, 33 percent to 36 percent in North Europe, 33 percent to 37 percent in 250 Europe, 36 Europe, 36 Europe, 36 Europe, 36 Europe, 37 percent in 250 Europe, 37 percent in 250 Europe, 37 percent in 250 Europe, 38 America. The importance of regional forms of the increase in the future. On the one hand, successful economic regionalism will reinforce civili. in the future. On the one name, succeed only zation-consciousness. On the other hand, economic regionalism may succeed only zation-consciousness. The European Community rests. when it is rooted in a common civilization. The European Community rests on the when it is rooted in a continuous on the success of the shared foundation of European culture and Western Christianity. The success of the shared foundation of European Shared foundation of the North American Free Trade Area depends on the convergence now underway of Mexican, Canadian and American cultures. Japan, in contrast, faces difficulties in cre. ating a comparable economic entity in East Asia because Japan is a society and civili. zation unique to itself. However strong the trade and investment links Japan may develop with other East Asian countries, its cultural differences with those countries inhibit and perhaps preclude its promoting regional economic integration like that in Europe and North America.

Common culture, in contrast, is clearly facilitating the rapid expansion of the economic relations between the People's Republic of China and Hong Kong, Taiwan, Singapore and the overseas Chinese communities in other Asian countries. With the Cold War over, cultural commonalities increasingly overcome ideological differences, and mainland China and Taiwan move closer together. If cultural commonality is a prerequisite for economic integration, the principal East Asian economic bloc of the future is likely to be centered on China. This bloc is, in fact, already coming into existence. As Murray Weidenbaum has observed,

Despite the current Japanese dominance of the region, the Chinese-based economy of Asia is rapidly emerging as a new epicenter for industry, commerce and finance. This strategic area contains substantial amounts of technology and manufacturing capability (Taiwan), outstanding entrepreneurial, marketing and services acumen (Hong Kong), a fine communications network (Singapore), a tremendous pool of financial capital (all three), and very large endowments of land, resources and labor (mainland China) ... From Guangzhou to Singapore, from Kuala Lumpur to Manila, this influential network - often based on extensions of the traditional clans - has been described

Culture and religion also form the basis of the Economic Cooperation Organization, which brings together ten non-Arab Muslim countries: Iran, Pakistan, Turkey, Azerbaijan, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Turkmenistan, Tadjikistan, Uzbekistan and Afghanistan. One impetus to the revival and expansion of this organization, founded originally in the 1060c by The founded originally in the 1960s by Turkey, Pakistan and Iran, is the realization by the leaders of several of these countries that they had no chance of admission to the market European Community. Similarly, Caricom, the Central American Common Market and Me Caribbe ever, ha

As p an "us' ethnici the for to the rangii ment Bosn demo and t iliza ideo app

> gro COI tio int po

nged. And as we to that question harply hrab and simul. Catholic and

otal trade that 59 percent in North te to increase inforce civilinacced only rests on the derway of ties in creand civilinacced in may countries to that in the total countries to that in the text of the text of

of the Taiwan, I'th the rences, ity is a of the grinto

of is and Mercosur rest on common cultural foundations. Efforts to build a broader Caribbean-Central American economic entity bridging the Anglo-Latin divide, however, have to date failed.

As people define their identity in ethnic and religious terms, they are likely to see an "us" versus "them" relation existing between themselves and people of different ethnicity or religion. The end of ideologically defined states in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union permits traditional ethnic identities and animosities to come to the fore. Differences in culture and religion create differences over policy issues, ranging from human rights to immigration to trade and commerce to the environment. Geographical propinquity gives rise to conflicting territorial claims from Bosnia to Mindanao. Most important, the efforts of the West to promote its values of democracy and liberalism as universal values, to maintain its military predominance and to advance its economic interests engender countering responses from other civilizations. Decreasingly able to mobilize support and form coalitions on the basis of ideology, governments and groups will increasingly attempt to mobilize support by appealing to common religion and civilization identity.

The clash of civilizations thus occurs at two levels. At the micro-level, adjacent groups along the fault lines between civilizations struggle, often violently, over the control of territory and each other. At the macro-level, states from different civilizations compete for relative military and economic power, struggle over the control of international institutions and third parties, and competitively promote their particular political and religious values.

The Fault Lines between Civilizations

The fault lines between civilizations are replacing the political and ideological boundaries of the Cold War as the flash points for crisis and bloodshed. The Cold War began when the Iron Curtain divided Europe politically and ideologically. The Cold War ended with the end of the Iron Curtain. As the ideological division of Europe has disappeared, the cultural division of Europe between Western Christianity, on the one hand, and Orthodox Christianity and Islam, on the other, has reemerged. The most significant dividing line in Europe, as William Wallace has suggested, may well be the eastern boundary of Western Christianity in the year 1500. This line runs along what are now the boundaries between Finland and Russia and between the Baltic states and Russia, cuts through Belarus and Ukraine separating the more Catholic western Ukraine from Orthodox eastern Ukraine, swings westward separating Transylvania from the rest of Romania, and then goes through Yugoslavia almost exactly along the line now separating Croatia and Slovenia from the rest of Yugoslavia. In the Balkans this line, of course, coincides with the historic boundary between the Hapsburg and Ottoman empires. The peoples to the north and west of this line are Protestant or Catholic; they shared the common experiences of European history – feudalism, the Renaissance, the Reformation, the Enlightenment, the French Revolution, the Industrial Revolution; they are generally economically better off than the peoples to the east; and they may now look forward to increasing involvement in

a common European economy and to the consolidation of democratic polyton acrome to the east and south of this line are Orthodox or Muslin Polyton are Ortho a common European economy and to the common European economy and to the systems. The peoples to the east and south of this line are Orthodox or Muslim systems. The peoples to the Ottoman or Tsarist empires and were only lightly to the orthogonal transfer they are generally less at the orthogonal transfer they are generally less at the orthogonal transfer to the orthogonal transfer transfer to the orthogonal transfer transfer to the orthogonal transfer transf systems. The peoples to the east and south of the systems. The peoples to the Ottoman or Tsarist empires and were only lightly tolk historically belonged to the Ottoman or Europe; they are generally less advanced economic basing events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic basing events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic basing events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic basing events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic basing events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic basing events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic basing events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic basing events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic basing events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic basing events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic basing events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic basing events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic basing experience and experience are the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic basing experience and experience are the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic basing experience and experience are the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic basing experience are the rest of Europe experience and experience are the rest of Europe experience are the experience are the rest of Europe experience are the experienc historically belonged to the Ottoman of Furope; they are generally less advanced economic by the shaping events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic by the shaping events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic by the shaping events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic by the shaping events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic by the shaping events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic by the shaping events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic by the shaping events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic by the shaping events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic by the shaping events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic by the shaping events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic by the shaping events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic by the shaping events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic by the shaping events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic by the shaping events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economic by the shaping experience in the rest of Europe experience in the rest of Euro by the shaping events in the rest of Europe, by the shaping events in the rest of Europe, by the shaping events in the rest of Europe, by the shaping events in the rest of Europe, by the shaping events in the rest of Europe, by the shaping events in the rest of Europe, by the shaping events in the rest of Europe, by the shaping events in the rest of Europe, by the shaping events in the rest of Europe, and the shaping events in the shapi ically; they seem much less likely to develop ically ically; they seem much less likely to develop ically Velvet Curtain of culture has replaced the Velvet Curtain of culture has replaced the Velvet Curtain of culture has replaced the Significant dividing line in Europe. As the events in Yugoslavia show, it is not only significant dividing line in Europe. As the events in Yugoslavia show, it is not only significant dividing line in Europe. As the events in Yugoslavia show, it is not only significant dividing line in Europe. As the events in Yugoslavia show, it is not only significant dividing line in Europe. line of difference; it is also at times a line of bloody conflict.

The '

Its S Wes

Japa

sec

pol

Br

G ot

th

ne of difference; it is also at times a line of the order of difference; it is also at times a line of the order of difference; it is also at times a line of the order of difference; it is also at times a line of the order of difference; it is also at times a line of the order of difference; it is also at times a line of the order of difference; it is also at times a line of the order of difference; it is also at times a line of the order of difference; it is also at times a line of the order of difference; it is also at times a line of the order of difference; it is also at times a line of the order of difference; it is also at times a line of the order of difference; it is also at times a line of the order of difference; it is also at times a line of the order of difference; it is also at times a line of the order of the or Conflict along the fault line between going on for 1,300 years. After the founding of Islam, the Arab and Moorish sure going on for 1,300 years. After the founding of Islam, the Arab and Moorish sure going on for 1,300 years. After the founding of Islam, the Arab and Moorish sure going on for 1,300 years. After the founding of Islam, the Arab and Moorish sure going on for 1,300 years. After the founding of Islam, the Arab and Moorish sure going on for 1,300 years. After the founding of Islam, the Arab and Moorish sure going on for 1,300 years. going on for 1,300 years. Alter the results of the sure west and north only ended at Tours in 732. From the eleventh to the thirteenth with temporary success to bring Christians. west and north only ended at Tour and Strom the fourteenth to the seventeenth and From the fourteenth to the seventeenth and Christian rule to the Holy Land. From the fourteenth to the seventeenth century the Ottoman Turks reversed the balance, extended their sway over the Middle East and the Balkans, captured Constantinople, and twice laid siege to Vienna. In the and the Balkans, captured Constanting.

nineteenth and early twentieth centuries as Ottoman power declined Britain, France, and Italy established Western control over most of North Africa and the Middle East.

After World War II, the West, in turn, began to retreat; the colonial empires disap. peared; first Arab nationalism and then Islamic fundamentalism manifested them. selves; the West became heavily dependent on the Persian Gulf countries for its energy; the oil-rich Muslim countries became money-rich and, when they wished to, weapons-rich. Several wars occurred between Arabs and Israel (created by the West). France fought a bloody and ruthless war in Algeria for most of the 1950s; British and French forces invaded Egypt in 1956; American forces went into Lebanon in 1958; subsequently American forces returned to Lebanon, attacked Libya, and engaged in various military encounters with Iran; Arab and Islamic terrorists, supported by at least three Middle Eastern governments, employed the weapon of the weak and bombed Western planes and installations and seized Western hostages. This warfare between Arabs and the West culminated in 1990, when the United States sent a massive army to the Persian Gulf to defend some Arab countries against aggression by another. In its aftermath NATO planning is increasingly directed to potential threats

This centuries-old military interaction between the West and Islam is unlikely to decline. It could become more virulent. The Gulf War left some Arabs feeling proud that Saddam Hussein had attacked Israel and stood up to the West. It also left many feeling humiliated and resentful of the West's military presence in the Persian Gulf, the West's overwhelming military dominance, and their own apparent inability to shape their destiny. Many Arab countries, in addition to the oil exporters, are reaching levels of economic and social development where autocratic forms of government become inappropriate and efforts to introduce democracy become stronger. Some openings in Arab political systems have already occurred. The principal beneficiaries of these openings have been Islamist movements. In the Arab world, in short, Western democracy strengthens anti-Western political forces. This may be a passing phenomenon, but it surely complicates relations between Islamic countries and the

a common European economy and to the consolidation of democratic political a common European economy and to the east and south of this line are Orthodox or Muslim; they systems. The peoples to the east and south of this line are Orthodox or Muslim; they systems. The peoples to the east and south of the order only lightly touched historically belonged to the Ottoman or Tsarist empires and were only lightly touched nistorically belonged to the Ottoman of Tallace are generally less advanced econom-by the shaping events in the rest of Europe; they are generally less advanced economby the snaping events in the rest of Europe, and the stable democratic political systems. The ically; they seem much less likely to develop stable democratic political systems. The Velvet Curtain of culture has replaced the Iron Curtain of ideology as the most significant dividing line in Europe. As the events in Yugoslavia show, it is not only a line of difference; it is also at times a line of bloody conflict.

Th

Its

W

[a]

se

Conflict along the fault line between Western and Islamic civilizations has been going on for 1,300 years. After the founding of Islam, the Arab and Moorish surge west and north only ended at Tours in 732. From the eleventh to the thirteenth century the Crusaders attempted with temporary success to bring Christianity and Christian rule to the Holy Land. From the fourteenth to the seventeenth century, the Ottoman Turks reversed the balance, extended their sway over the Middle East and the Balkans, captured Constantinople, and twice laid siege to Vienna. In the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries as Ottoman power declined Britain, France, and Italy established Western control over most of North Africa and the Middle East.

After World War II, the West, in turn, began to retreat; the colonial empires disappeared; first Arab nationalism and then Islamic fundamentalism manifested themselves; the West became heavily dependent on the Persian Gulf countries for its energy; the oil-rich Muslim countries became money-rich and, when they wished to, weapons-rich. Several wars occurred between Arabs and Israel (created by the West). France fought a bloody and ruthless war in Algeria for most of the 1950s; British and French forces invaded Egypt in 1956; American forces went into Lebanon in 1958; subsequently American forces returned to Lebanon, attacked Libya, and engaged in various military encounters with Iran; Arab and Islamic terrorists, supported by at least three Middle Eastern governments, employed the weapon of the weak and bombed Western planes and installations and seized Western hostages. This warfare between Arabs and the West culminated in 1990, when the United States sent a massive army to the Persian Gulf to defend some Arab countries against aggression by another. In its aftermath NATO planning is increasingly directed to potential threats and instability along its "southern tier."

This centuries-old military interaction between the West and Islam is unlikely to decline. It could become more virulent. The Gulf War left some Arabs feeling proud that Saddam Hussein had attacked Israel and stood up to the West. It also left many feeling humiliated and resentful of the West's military presence in the Persian Gulf, the West's overwhelming military dominance, and their own apparent inability to shape their destiny. Many Arab countries, in addition to the oil exporters, are reaching levels of economic and social development where autocratic forms of government become inappropriate and efforts to introduce democracy become stronger. Some openings in Arab political systems have already occurred. The principal beneficiaries openings in Arab political systems nave an easy occurrences of these openings have been Islamist movements. In the Arab world, in short, of these openings have been Islamist movements. Western democracy strengthens anti-Western political forces. This may be a passing Western democracy strengthens anti-western political political passing phenomenon, but it surely complicates relations between Islamic countries and the

The West versus the Rest

The west is now at an extraordinary peak of power in relation to other civilizations. The west opponent has disappeared from the map. Military conflict among Western states is unthinkable, and Western military power is unrivaled. Apart from Western West faces no economic challenge. It dominates international political and Japan, security institutions and with Japan international economic institutions. Global political and security issues are effectively settled by a directorate of the United States, Britain and France, world economic issues by a directorate of the United States, Germany and Japan, all of which maintain extraordinarily close relations with each other to the exclusion of lesser and largely non-Western countries. Decisions made at the UN Security Council or in the International Monetary Fund that reflect the interests of the West are presented to the world as reflecting the desires of the world community. The very phrase "the world community" has become the euphemistic collective noun (replacing "the Free World") to give global legitimacy to actions reflecting the interests of the United States and other Western powers. Through the IMF and other international economic institutions, the West promotes its economic interests and imposes on other nations the economic policies it thinks appropriate. In any poll of non-Western peoples, the IMF undoubtedly would win the support of finance ministers and a few others, but get an overwhelmingly unfavorable rating from just about everyone else, who would agree with Georgy Arbatov's characterization of IMF officials as "neo-Bolsheviks who love expropriating other people's money, imposing undemocratic and alien rules of economic and political conduct and stifling economic freedom."

Western domination of the UN Security Council and its decisions, tempered only by occasional abstention by China, produced UN legitimation of the West's use of force to drive Iraq out of Kuwait and its elimination of Iraq's sophisticated weapons and capacity to produce such weapons. It also produced the quite unprecedented action by the United States, Britain and France in getting the Security Council to demand that Libya hand over the Pan Am 103 bombing suspects and then to impose sanctions when Libya refused. After defeating the largest Arab army, the West did not hesitate to throw its weight around in the Arab world. The West in effect is using international institutions, military power and economic resources to run the world in ways that will maintain Western predominance, protect Western interests and promote Western political and economic values.

That at least is the way in which non-Westerners see the new world, and there is a significant element of truth in their view. Differences in power and struggles for military, economic and institutional power are thus one source of conflict between the West and other civilizations. Differences in culture, that is basic values and beliefs, are a second source of conflict. V. S. Naipaul has argued that Western civilization is the "universal civilization" that "fits all men." At a superficial level much of Western culture has indeed permeated the rest of the world. At a more basic level, however, Western concepts differ fundamentally from those prevalent in other civilizations. Western ideas of individualism, liberalism, constitutionalism, human rights, equality, liberty, the rule of law, democracy, free markets, the separation of church and state,

tic political fuslim; they tly touched d economstems. The the most not only a

has been rish surge hirteenth anity and century, ldle East a. In the Britain, and the

s disapl themfor its hed to, West). sh and 1958; ged in by at k and arfare

ely to proud many Gulf, ity to reach-

on by

reats

ment Some iaries hort, ssin

d the

often have little resonance in Islamic, Confucian, Japanese, Hindu, Buddhist or often nave little resonance in islame, contactor, juice instead a reaction of the contactor Ortnodox cultures. Western enores to propagate affirmation of indigenous values, as tion against "human rights imperialism" and a reaffirmation of indigenous values, as tion against muman rights imperiation and amentalism by the younger generation in can be seen in the support for religious fundamentalism by the younger generation in non-Western cultures. The very notion that there could be a "universal civilization" is a Western idea, directly at odds with the particularism of most Asian societies and their emphasis on what distinguishes one people from another. Indeed, the author of a review of 100 comparative studies of values in different societies concluded that "the values that are most important in the West are least important worldwide." In the political realm, of course, these differences are most manifest in the efforts of the United States and other Western powers to induce other peoples to adopt Western ideas concerning democracy and human rights. Modern democratic government originated in the West. When it has developed in non-Western societies it has usually been the product of Western colonialism or imposition.

The central axis of world politics in the future is likely to be, in Kishore Mahbubani's phrase, the conflict between "the West and the Rest" and the responses of non-Western civilizations to Western power and values. Those responses generally take one or a combination of three forms. At one extreme, non-Western states can, like Burma and North Korea, attempt to pursue a course of isolation, to insulate their societies from penetration or "corruption" by the West, and, in effect, to opt out of participation in the Western-dominated global community. The costs of this course, however, are high, and few states have pursued it exclusively. A second alternative, the equivalent of "band-wagoning" in international relations theory, is to attempt to join the West and accept its values and institutions. The third alternative is to attempt to "balance" the West by developing economic and military power and cooperating with other non-Western societies against the West, while preserving indigenous values and institutions; in short, to modernize but not to Westernize. [...]

Western civilization is both Western and modern. Non-Western civilizations have attempted to become modern without becoming Western. To date only Japan has fully succeeded in this quest. Non-Western civilizations will continue to attempt to acquire the wealth, technology, skills, machines and weapons that are part of being modern. They will also attempt to reconcile this modernity with their traditional culture and values. Their economic and military strength relative to the West will increase. Hence the West will increasingly have to accommodate these non-Western modern civilizations whose power approaches that of the West but whose values and interests differ significantly from those of the West. This will require the West to maintain the economic and military power necessary to protect its interests in relation to these civilizations. It will also, however, require the West to develop a more profound understanding of the basic religious and philosophical assumptions underlying other civilizations and the ways in which people in those civilizations see their interests. It will require an effort to identify elements of commonality between Western and other civilizations. For the relevant future, there will be no universal civilization, but instead a world of different civilizations, each of which will have to