Grading for this assignment will be based on answer quality, logic / organization of the paper, and language and writing skills, using the following rubric.

Points: 250	Assignment 2: Competiveness and Performance Effectiveness for Health Care IT Systems						
Criteria	Unacceptable	Meets Minimum Expectations	Fair	Proficient	Exemplary		
	Below 60% F	60-69% D	70-79% C	80-89% B	90-100% A		
1. Define the	Did not submit	Insufficiently	Partially defined	Satisfactorily	Thoroughly		
fundamental	or incompletely	defined the	the fundamental	defined the	defined the		
responsibilities	defined the	fundamental	responsibilities	fundamental	fundamental		
and key	fundamental	responsibilities	and key	responsibilities	responsibilities		
characteristics of	responsibilities	and key	characteristics	and key	and key		
the Chief	and key	characteristics	of the Chief	characteristics	characteristics		
Information	characteristics of	of the Chief	Information	of the Chief	of the Chief		
Officer (CIO) and	the Chief	Information	Officer (CIO)	Information	Information		
Chief	Information	Officer (CIO)	and Chief	Officer (CIO)	Officer (CIO)		
Technology	Officer (CIO)	and Chief	Technology	and Chief	and Chief		
Officer (CTO)	and Chief	Technology	Officer (CTO)	Technology	Technology		
within health	Technology	Officer (CTO)	within health	Officer (CTO)	Officer (CTO)		
care	Officer (CTO)	within health	care	within health	within health		
organizations.	within health	care	organizations.	care	care		
Make one (1)	care	organizations.	Partially made	organizations.	organizations.		
recommendation	organizations.	Insufficiently	one (1)	Satisfactorily	Thoroughly		
where they can	Did not submit	made one (1)	recommendation	made one (1)	made one (1)		
utilize their	or incompletely	recommendation	where they can	recommendation	recommendatio		
expertise to	made one (1)	where they can	utilize their	where they can	where they can		
assist with	recommendation	utilize their	expertise to	utilize their	utilize their		
employee and	where they can	expertise to	assist with	expertise to	expertise to		
patient	utilize their	assist with	employee and	assist with	assist with		
satisfaction.	expertise to	employee and	patient	employee and	employee and		
Support your	assist with	patient	satisfaction.	patient	patient		
response with	employee and	satisfaction.	Partially	satisfaction.	satisfaction.		
related examples	patient	Insufficiently	supported your	Satisfactorily	Thoroughly		
of such expertise	satisfaction. Did	supported your	response with	supported your	supported your		
in use.	not submit or	response with	related	response with	response with		
	incompletely	related	examples of	related	related		
Weight: 20%	supported your	examples of	such expertise	examples of	examples of		
	response with	such expertise	in use.	such expertise	such expertise		
	related	in use.		in use.	in use.		
	examples of						
	such expertise in						
	use.						
2. Suggest two	Did not submit	Insufficiently	Partially	Satisfactorily	Thoroughly		
(2) developing	or incompletely	suggested two	suggested two	suggested two	suggested two		
technologies that	suggested two	(2) developing	(2) developing	(2) developing	(2) developing		
health care	(2) developing	technologies	technologies	technologies	technologies		
systems should	technologies	that health care	that health care	that health care	that health care		
use in order to	that health care	systems should	systems should	systems should	systems should		
improve health	systems should	use in order to	use in order to	use in order to	use in order to		
care processes	use in order to	improve health	improve health	improve health	improve health		
and thus	improve health	care processes	care processes	care processes	care processes		
increase the	care processes	and thus	and thus	and thus	and thus		
quality and lower	and thus	increase the	increase the	increase the	increase the		
the cost of health	increase the	quality and	quality and	quality and	quality and		
services. Provide	quality and lower	lower the cost of	lower the cost of	lower the cost of	lower the cost of		
a rationale to	the cost of	health services.	health services.	health services.	health services.		
support your	health services.	Insufficiently	Partially	Satisfactorily	Thoroughly		
response.	Did not submit	provided a	provided a	provided a	provided a		
	or incompletely	rationale to	rationale to	rationale to	rationale to		

Weight: 15%	rationale to support your response.	support your response.	support your response.	support your response.	support your response.
3. Determine two (2) significant methods that health care systems should use in order to prevent misuse of information and protect data privacy and thus achieve a high level of security of health information. Provide a rationale to support your response. Weight: 15%	response.  Did not submit or incompletely determined two (2) significant methods that health care systems should use in order to prevent misuse of information and protect data privacy and thus achieve a high level of security of health information. Did not submit or incompletely provided a rationale to	Insufficiently determined two (2) significant methods that health care systems should use in order to prevent misuse of information and protect data privacy and thus achieve a high level of security of health information. Insufficiently provided a rationale to support your response.	Partially determined two (2) significant methods that health care systems should use in order to prevent misuse of information and protect data privacy and thus achieve a high level of security of health information. Partially provided a rationale to support your response.	Satisfactorily determined two (2) significant methods that health care systems should use in order to prevent misuse of information and protect data privacy and thus achieve a high level of security of health information. Satisfactorily provided a rationale to support your response.	Thoroughly determined two (2) significant methods that health care systems should use in order to prevent misuse of information and protect data privacy and thus achieve a high level of security of health information. Thoroughly provided a rationale to support your response.
4. Suggest one (1) strategy for health care organizations to train providers in using technology in health care. Provide a rationale to support your response. Weight: 15%	support your response.  Did not submit or incompletely suggested one (1) strategy for health care organizations to train providers in using technology in health care. Did not submit or incompletely provided a rationale to support your response.	Insufficiently suggested one (1) strategy for health care organizations to train providers in using technology in health care. Insufficiently provided a rationale to support your response.	Partially suggested one (1) strategy for health care organizations to train providers in using technology in health care. Partially provided a rationale to support your response.	Satisfactorily suggested one (1) strategy for health care organizations to train providers in using technology in health care. Satisfactorily provided a rationale to support your response.	Thoroughly suggested one (1) strategy for health care organizations to train providers in using technology in health care. Thoroughly provided a rationale to support your response.
5. Provide three (3) best practices for effective IT alignment and strategic planning initiatives. Justify your response. Weight: 10%	Did not submit or incompletely provided three (3) best practices for effective IT alignment and strategic planning initiatives. Did not submit or incompletely justified your response.	Insufficiently provided three (3) best practices for effective IT alignment and strategic planning initiatives. Insufficiently justified your response.	Partially provided three (3) best practices for effective IT alignment and strategic planning initiatives. Partially justified your response.	Satisfactorily provided three (3) best practices for effective IT alignment and strategic planning initiatives. Satisfactorily justified your response.	Thoroughly provided three (3) best practices for effective IT alignment and strategic planning initiatives. Thoroughly justified your response.
6. 3 references Weight: 5%	No references provided	Does not meet the required number of references, and / or references are of poor quality.	Meets the required number of references; some or all references poor quality choices.	Meets number of required references; all references high quality choices.	Exceeds number of required references; all references high quality choices.
7. Writing Mechanics, Grammar, and Formatting	Serious and persistent errors in grammar, spelling,	Numerous errors in grammar,	Partially free of errors in grammar, spelling,	Mostly free of errors in grammar, spelling,	Error free or almost error free grammar, spelling,

Weight: 5%	punctuation, or formatting.	spelling, and punctuation.	punctuation, or formatting.	punctuation, or formatting.	punctuation, or formatting.
8. Appropriate use of APA intext citations and reference section Weight: 5%	Lack of in-text citations and / or lack of reference section.	In-text citations and references are given, but not in APA format.	In-text citations and references are provided, but they are only partially formatted correctly in APA style.	Most in-text citations and references are provided, and they are generally formatted correctly in APA style.	In-text citations and references are error free or almost error free and consistently formatted correctly in APA style.
9. Information Literacy / Integration of Sources Weight: 5%	Serious errors in the integration of sources, such as intentional or accidental plagiarism, or failure to use in- text citations.	Sources are rarely integrated using effective techniques of quoting, paraphrasing, and summarizing.	Sources are partially integrated using effective techniques of quoting, paraphrasing, and summarizing.	Sources are mostly integrated using effective techniques of quoting, paraphrasing, and summarizing.	Sources are consistently integrated using effective techniques of quoting, paraphrasing, and summarizing.
10. Clarity and Coherence of Writing Weight: 5%	Information is confusing to the reader and fails to include reasons and evidence that logically support ideas.	Information is somewhat confusing with not enough reasons and evidence that logically support ideas.	Information is partially clear with minimal reasons and evidence that logically support ideas.	Information is mostly clear and generally supported with reasons and evidence that logically support ideas.	Information is provided in a clear, coherent, and consistent manner with reasons and evidence that logically support ideas.