50

Bollywood versus Hollywood Battle of the Dream Factories Heather Tyrrell

 bee_{en}

 u_{in_e}

 $^{\prime}$ $^{\prime}$ $^{\prime}$ $^{\prime}$ $^{\prime}$

 g^{ni} $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathsf{r}}$

 $O^{\mathcal{U}}$

11

Introduction

Theorisation around cinema and globalisation has largely been structured in terms of a basic opposition between Western commercial and culturally imperialist cinema, and Third World non-commercial, indigenous, politicised cinema. Much criticism of Hollywood and much support for alternative cinemas have been based on this under-Holly wood opposition. 'Bollywood', North Indian popular commercial cinema, is an anomalous case which forces us to re-think the global map of cultural consumption and challenge the assumptions generally made concerning world cinema.

While India is not the only non-Western country with a commercial, popular, indigenous cinema – the cinemas of Hong Kong, China, Mexico and Brazil could be similarly described – its film industry is at this time experiencing rapid changes which make it a particularly pertinent subject for examination. "Bollywood" has become widespread [sic] nomenclature for the Indian movie industry in recent times' and amalgamates two names: 'Hollywood', and 'Bombay' (India's commercial hub, now renamed Mumbai). But is Bollywood named in imitation of Hollywood, or as a challenge to it? For many years commentators have assumed the former, but if Bollywood were simply a substitute for Western film while economic barriers prevented the import of the original, once those barriers collapsed it would be expected that Bollywood would collapse too.

Original publication details: Heather Tyrrell, "Bollywood versus Hollywood: Battle of the Dream Factories," in Culture and Global Change, ed. Tracey Skelton and Tim Allen. Abingdon: Routledge, 1999. pp. 260-6, 272-3. Reproduced with permission from Taylor & Francis.

The Globalization Reader, Fifth Edition. Edited by Frank J. Lechner and John Boli. Editorial material and organization © 2015 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. Published 2015 by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

But does this neg as Third Cinema? produced in and

Octavio Gettino, as First Cinema,

democratic, nat applied to Bolly

refused industr subsidies from Fidel Castro

Film Festival:

They are po tography, g do not surv

Compare th defending F 100 years o

> I'd like t not for f we get

Khan's against alternat as 'Thir

> Boll Cinem with t anti-V with ' oreti

> > appli his e Thi for

> > > rad

ae

SC

However, Indian film culture has not been undermined or devalued by the recent and multinational companies have. However, Indian film culture has not been under thing of devalued by the recent have not been under thing the prized Indian market. The role and the importance influx of Western product as some expected, and multinational companies have not influx of Western product as some expected, and multinational companies have not influence that is deminating the prized Indian market. However, Indian min to some expected, and market. The role and the importance of influx of Western product as some expected indian market. The role and the importance of succeeded in dominating the prized Indian misinterpreted or underestimated by extending cinema culture has been misinterpreted or the easily into the control of the easily into the ea influx of Western product in the prized Indian market. The total and the importance of succeeded in dominating the prized Indian misinterpreted or underestimated by external popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted on the theorem popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted or underestimated by external popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted or underestimated by external popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted or underestimated by external popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted and Third World and Third succeeded in dominating processed and the succeeded in dominating popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted of a later statement of the easily into the theoretical popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the theoretical popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the theoretical popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the theoretical popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the theoretical popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the theoretical popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the theoretical popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the theoretical popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the theoretical popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the theoretical popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the theoretical popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the theoretical popular Indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the theoretical popular indian cinema culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the control of the easily into the culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the culture has been misinterpreted of the easily into the culture has been misinterpreted of popular mulair commentators, perhaps precisely because it does not an easily into the theoretic commentators, perhaps precisely because it does not all begin by situating Bollywood within and against the theoretic model developed around the dichotomy of First World and Third World cinema.

ommentators, pour definition of First World cinema. World cinema indel developed around the dichotomy of First within and against the theories for This chapter will begin by situating Bollywood Within and against the theories for This chapter will begin by situating Bollywood Cinema theory. I will then a start world film known as Third Cinema theory. model developed.

This chapter will begin by situating Bolly wood. Third Cinema theory. I will then go on mulated around Third World film known as Third Cinema theory. I will then go on mulated around Third World film known as three aspects of the Bollywood film industries. mulated around Third World film known as Time aspects of the Bollywood film industry: to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects of the Bollywood film industry: to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate the relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate the relate theory to practice by looking at three aspects to relate the relate three aspects to relate three aspects three aspects to relate three aspects to relate three aspects t first, the current volatile period of change in the Indian market; second, Bollywood international film industry attempts to enter the Indian market; second, Bollywood international film industry, in terms of production, distribution and international film industry attempts to enter a formula, Bollywood international film industry, in terms of production, distribution and exhibitself as an international film industry, in terms of production, distribution and exhibitself as an international film industry to Bollywood as a dominant cultural force in Industry itself as an international film industry, in terms of the state of the bition; and third, oppositions to Bollywood as why Bollywood is fertile theoretical focusing on these areas I hope to demonstrate why Bollywood is fertile theoretical focusing on these areas I hope to demonstrate why Bollywood is fertile theoretical focusing on these areas I hope to demonstrate why Bollywood is fertile theoretical focusing on these areas I hope to define and Cultural Studies alike, and may force us to ground for Development Studies and Cultural Studies alike, and may force us to rethink how Third World popular culture is read.

Bollywood and Third Cinema

'Third Cinema' is a term coined originally by Argentine film-makers Fernando Third Cinema is a term conted applied to the theory of cinemas opposed Solanas and Octavio Gettino, and generally applied to the theory of cinemas opposed solanas and Octavio Gettino, and Sollywood, as a commercial popular cinema, has a to imperialism and colonialism. Bollywood, as a to imperialism and colonialism of Third Cinema, which assume a non-commer-problematic relationship to theories of Third Cinema, which assume a non-commercial, minority cinema as their subject.

In discussions of world cinema, the mainstream is generally taken to be North American and European cinema, with others as oppositional, marginal, and most significantly, non-commercial. Bollywood, the most prolific film industry in the world, and one with an international commercial market, challenges this assumption. Bollywood films are not solely politically motivated, nor are they entirely devoid of nationalist/anti-colonialist content. They are at once 'escapist' and ideologically loaded.

In Questions of Third Cinema, Jim Pines and Paul Willemen (1989) talk about Third World films as 'physical acts of collective self-defence and resistance'. Bollywood can be read both as defending itself and Indian values against the West, and as a dangerous courier of Western values to the Indian audience, and is read in both these ways by the Indian popular film press. A constant process of negotiation between East and West takes place in Bollywood films, operating both in terms of style (narrative continuity, mise-en-scène, acting styles), and in terms of content (the values and ideas expressed in the films). Indian cinematic style negotiates the cinematic traditions of Classical Hollywood, while its content addresses the ideological heritage of colonisation; just as, in the 'picturisation' of a single film song, hero and heroine oscillate between Eastern and Western dress in a rapid series of costume swaps as they dance and mime to music which is itself a hybrid of Eastern and recent n_{Ot} nce of $te_{r_{n_{al}}}$ retical

es for

lo d

la. go o g

lstry: s the boo xhi- $\cdot B_{V}$ ical to

Cinema:

But does this negotiation, and its often overtanti-Western agenda, qualify Bollywood But does this negotian overtanti-Western agenda, qualify Bollywood Third Cinema? A cinema does not automatically qualify for the title because it is Third Cinema: A control automatically qualify agenda, qualify Bollywood Third in and for the Third World. Argentine film-makers Fernando Solanas and Third Cinema: Third World in and for the Third World. Argentine film-makers Fernando Solanas and Third World in an argentine agenda, qualify Bollywood in an argentine film-makers Fernando Solanas and Third World in an argentine film-makers Fernando Solanas and Third World in an argentine film-makers Fernando Solanas and Third World in an argentine film-makers Fernando Solanas and Third World in an argentine film-makers Fernando Solanas and Third World in an argentine film-makers Fernando Solanas and Third World in an argentine film-makers Fernando Solanas and Third World in an argentine film-makers Fernando Solanas and Third World in an argentine film-makers Fernando Solanas and Third World in argentine film-makers Fernando Sol produced in and for the string film and for the secause it is octavio Gettino, defined any 'big spectacle cinema' financed by big monopoly capital cinema', partial of big capital Third Company capital productavio Gettino, accurate a spectacle cinema' financed by big monopoly capital spiretic, national, popular cinema'. But both these statements of statements are statements. octavic Cinema, maci, as First Cinema, national, popular cinema'. But both these statements can equally be stated to Bollywood, which, despite its prolific commercial profile. democratic, national and a But both these statements can equally be applied to Bollywood, which, despite its prolific commercial profile, has always been government, and which historical applied to Bony word, refused industry refuse posidies from the pursue an explicitly anti-colonial agenda. Fidel Castro fiercely criticised Hollywood in his closing speech at the 1985 Havana Film Festival:

They are poisoning the human mind in incredible doses through commercial cinema-They are possessive commercial. [Third world cinema must be supported, because] if we do not survive culturally we will not survive economically or politically.

Compare this speech with an article by Shah Rukh Khan, India's top film star, in 1996, defending Bollywood's commercial film industry in an introduction to a feature on 100 years of Indian cinema in Movie International magazine.

I'd like to stress we are part of world cinema and we are making films - films we like, not for film festivals ... Mark my words one day Indian cinema will rule the world. Once we get the technology we are going to kill them.

Khan's military metaphors are directed explicitly against the West, and not only against Hollywood and commercial cinema, but also against the independent, alternative cinema of 'film festivals' - cinema that could, in many cases, be described as 'Third Cinema'.

Bollywood seems both diametrically opposed to, and fiercely aligned to, Third Cinema. This confusion arises because commercialism has been exclusively identified with the West in cultural criticism, without taking into account a non-Western, even anti-Western commercialism. A cinema which is both commercial and concerned with 'decoding ... the deemed superiority of the West' problematises established theoretical oppositions of East and West. Some of the strategies of Third Cinema can be applied to it, but so can some of the criticisms levelled at Hollywood. Vijay Mishra in his essay The Texts of 'Mother India' (1989) argues that Bollywood cannot be seen as Third Cinema, despite its 'defiantly subversive' stance, because it is ultimately conforming: 'popular Indian Cinema is so conservative and culture specific as to make a radical post colonial Indian Cinema impossible'.

Third Cinema is commonly perceived as 'serious' cinema, challenging in an aesthetic as well as a political sense. Bollywood films generally include light-hearted song-and-dance numbers, causing Tim Allen to dismiss them from the Third Cinema equation in his dossier on Third Cinema: 'In India serious films are not generally very Popular at all. Most cinemas show jolly musicals ...'

However, as Mira Reym Binford says in her essay Innovation and Imitation in Indian

the obligatory song-and-dance sequences of the Indian mainstream film are a striking the obligatory song-and-dance sequences of the Indian mainstream film are a striking the obligatory song-and-dance sequences of the Indian mainstream film are a striking

the obligatory song and dance sequences of the limit are a striking example of indigenously based aesthetic principles [with remote antecedents in the tra.] ditional Sanskrit drama] shaping the use of imported technology. These very song-and-dance sequences are not musicals alone; they are an 'Omnibus and Sanskin Gullery are not musicals alone; they are an 'Omnibus and Sanskin Gullery and Sanskin Gullery

These very song-and-dance sequences are a solution of they are an 'Omnibus' or imperialism. Also, Bollywood films are not musicals alone; they are an 'Omnibus' or imperialism. Also, Bollywood films are not musicals alone; they are an 'Omnibus' or imperialism. imperialism. Also, Bollywood films are not intended in the company of imperialism. Also, Bollywood films are not intended in the company of imperialism. Also, Bollywood films are not intended in the company of imperialism. Also, Bollywood films are not intended in the company of intended intended in the company of in a 'Masala' form, combining melodrama, actions with jolly song and dance romance, violently juxtaposing intensely tragic scenes with jolly song and dance romance, violently juxtaposing intensely trage romance, violently intensely tra

ilarly inherited from Sanskrit theatre).

However, if Bollywood has not developed, by However, if Bollywood has not developed, neither has its style much connection with Hollywood. Indian cinema has developed neither has its style much connection with Hollywood. Indian cinema has developed neither has its style much connection with Hollywood. neither has its style much connection with the codes of classical a film language which has little or nothing in common with the codes of classical a film language which has little of flotting Hollywood cinema and, ironically, this has caused some critics to dismiss Bollywood Hollywood cinema and, ironically, termed escapist according to the Hollywood cinema and, ironically, the termed escapist according to the classical as escapist. Modes of presentation termed escapist according to the classical as escapist. Modes of presentation to describe a scapist. Modes of Hollywood mode, like the song-and dand new, hope and fear' in Indian 'deep tensions – between wealth and poverty, old and new, hope and fear' in Indian 'deep tensions – between weard and provide deep tensions d films. For example, in the 1990 limb while cartwheeling about the exercise yard, prison compound, and prisoners sing, while cartwheeling about the exercise yard, prison compound, and prisoners suggested by are that poverty is so extreme in Indian society outside the prison walls that they are better off in jail, under a death sentence, because a death sentence hangs over them even outside prison.

Ironically, while, from the outside, Bollywood is popularly viewed as a more escapist cinema than even Western commercial cinema, it has absorbed within it as successful commercial product a number of challenging and 'serious' films that in the West achieved only a small, independent distribution. Shekhar Kapoor's Bandit Queen (1995) was among the top ten grossing films of 1996 in India, over a year after its small-scale, independent release in the UK, and made \$1 million in its first week of Indian release. The harrowing film is based on the life of outlaw Phoolan Devi, and confronts head-on the abuse of women in Indian society: Bollywood's aesthetic evidently cannot be dismissed as 'frivolous' if a film this 'serious' can achieve such enormous commercial success.

'Hollywood Raises Hell in Bollywood'

Hollywood/Bollywood relations are at a moment of crux, as the lifting of the ban on dubbing foreign films into Hindi in 1992 has left Hollywood free to enter the Indian market. However, audiences have shown little interest in Western imported film product; the barriers against the West are revealed as cultural, not simply economic, and 'Hindi films' have, effectively, 'triumphed over Hollywood in India'. Media coverage taken from the British and American film press, of the attempts of Hollywood to dominate the last remaining world market, chart some of the assumptions made, and broken down, before and during the current surprising impasse for Hollywood in India. In comparison, the discourses around East and West, film and culture, that are used in the Inc believe just as The Guardi looming' in Ir to the massiv Hollywood following the with its high machine gu (1982), renai commented publicity an

> The reason and cultur summaris must have Without Howe

Only one the unq cinema! previous blockbu have flu unable

> The sisting shorte after 1 films marke transl as Sch those

> > which Hol film No dep

India

Kour

Bollywood versus Hollywood

al

20

b

used in the Indian press, are just as dismissive, even hostile, towards the West, and believe just as confidently in the greater merit of their own cinema. Jieve just as confidently in the greater merit of their own cinema.

The Guardian's film critic, Derek Malcolm, warned their own cinema.

Spielberg's Jurassic Park, dubbed into Hindi (had) cinema. The Guaraian's Infliction, Derek Malcolm, Warned that 'a giant culture clash [was] looming' in India, as 'Spielberg's Jurassic Park, dubbed into Hindi, [had] given a fright article in The Sunday Times in home 1995 looming' in India, as "Prenderg's Jurassic Park, dubbed into Hindi, [had] given a fright predicted doom for the India film industry. An article in The Sunday Times in June 1995, to the massive massive in the massive in Bollywood' An article in The Sunday Times in June 1995, which is such as Sylvester Stalland, Indian film industry Hollywood Raises I and Bonywood predicted doom for the Indian film industry its higher production values, and, as Lees quotes Indian Cliffhanger (1993), following the recention of certain following following the recention of certain following f

with its higher products, and, as Lees quotes Indian sources as saying, renamed Blood and released in July 1995 in Indian sources as saying. machine guils indicated and released in July 1995 in India, was lukewarm. Trade reviews (1982), renamed that 'the film holds appeal mainly for action film lovers', and judged its

Bollywood vs. Hollywood

The reasons for Bollywood's resistance to colonisation by Hollywood are aesthetic and cultural as well as political. The formula for Bollywood films has been jokingly summarised as 'A star, six songs, three dances', and these Omnibus or Masala films must have the right mix of a diverse range of ingredients to satisfy their audiences. Without them a film 'lacks in entertainment value'.

However rigid this formula, adherence to it does not guarantee a film's success. Only one in ten films makes a profit, and whether a film is a hit or a flop depends on the unquantifiable judgement of the Bombay audience, who either fill or desert cinema houses in a film's first week of release. Films which imitate the formula of previous hits sink without a trace, while others appear from nowhere to become blockbusters. As Subhash K. Jha remarks in G magazine: 'The vagaries of the box-office have flummoxed film-makers and trade watchers forever'. If Indian film-makers are unable to guarantee audiences, Western film product is unlikely to do so.

The market for undubbed Western films in India before 1992 was very small, consisting only of an English speaking middle-class élite, and Western films had far shorter runs than Hindi films. Hollywood first attempted to attract Indian audiences after 1992 by dubbing major American hits into Hindi, but so far only a fraction of the films released have been commercially successful with the Hindi-speaking mass market. Jurassic Park (1993), Speed (1994) and Dunston Checks In (1995) - colloquially translated as 'A Monkey in a Hotel' - have been box office successes, but others, such as Schindler's List (1993), The Flintstones (1994) and Casper (1995), have 'bombed'. Even those films which did not 'bomb' achieved only a fraction of the success of domestic Indian films: in the same year that Jurassic Park grossed \$6 million, Hum Aapke Hain Koun ...! (1994) grossed \$60 million. Hollywood has not yet discerned a pattern as to which films succeed and which 'flop' in India.

One significant factor in films' successes, which may be too culturally specific for Hollywood to duplicate, is their music. 'Popular music in India is synonymous with film music', and the popular film and music industries in India are interdependent. Not only does Indian popular film depend on music, Indian popular music also depends on film. Peter Manuel (1993), in his book Cassette Culture, explains the history

of this symbiosis in economic terms; before the cassette revolution in the 1970s, the of this symbiosis in economic terms; before the distribution of this symbiosis in economic terms; before the distribution of this symbiosis in economic terms; before the distribution of this symbiosis in economic terms; before the distribution of this symbiosis in economic terms; before the distribution of the symbiosis in economic terms; before the distribution of this symbiosis in economic terms; before the distribution of this symbiosis in economic terms; before the distribution of this symbiosis in economic terms; before the distribution of this symbiosis in economic terms; before the distribution of this symbiosis in economic terms; before the distribution of the distribut of this symplosis most accessible way to hear Portacle in his article music is also culturally important; as Sanjeev Prakash (1984) notes in his article music is also culturally important; [Music, Dance and Popular Film], film music is also culturally important. cinema was the missing important; as Sanjee and Popular Film], film music is also culturally important; [Music, Dance and Popular Film], film music so La musique, la danse et le film populaire [Music, Dance and religious festivale] La musique, la danse et le film populuire l'Assert, au marriages and religious festivals, pervades Indian culture that it is played even at marriages and religious festivals. The star system too is a formidable force known as 'mythological', portrains

The star system too is a formidable lofte and the star system too is a formidable lofte. The star system too is a formidable lofte and the system cinema. The earliest Indian films were known as 'mythological', portraying Western cinema. The earliest Indian films were known as 'mythological', portraying western cinema. The earliest Indian films were known as 'mythological', portraying western cinema. Western cinema. The earliest Indian Innis to Western cinema. the adventures of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION on the adventures of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION on the adventures of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION on the source of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION on the source of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION on the source of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION on the source of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION on the source of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION on the source of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION on the source of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION on the source of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION on the source of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION on the source of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION on the source of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION on the source of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION on the source of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION on the source of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION on the source of Hindu gods such as RIBITATION of has remained. Many Indian film stars go on the woman in white', was the perrepresenting quintessential 'Indianness'. Nargis, 'the woman in white', was the perrepresenting quintessential 'Indianness'. Amitabh Bachchan has been represented to the perrepresenting quintessential 'Indianness'. representing quintessential Indianness. Hongs. Amitabh Bachchan has been India's sonification of 'Mother India' in the 1950s; Amitabh Bachchan has been India's sonification of 'Mother India in the 1995, and his face has come to be used as a symbol for greatest cinema icon for thirty years, and his face has come to be used as a symbol for greatest cinema icon for thirty years, and the greatest cinema icon for India itself (as we shall see later). Western States and Quasi-religious iconography. A recent Hindi film, Rock Dancer (1995), starring Samantha religious iconography. A recent randa pop singer, singing all her own songs in Hindi, Fox, a British glamour model turned pop singer, singing all her own songs in Hindi, Fox, a British glamour model turned process. Though the urban received very little press attention and no commercial success. Though the urban received very little press attention and merit an aside in one film news column, middle classes knew her name well enough to merit an aside in one film news column, to the mass Hindi film audience, she was an unknown.

Having largely failed to export Western product to India, Hollywood is now invest. ing in Indian studios – putting money into Bollywood, not attempting to replace it with its own product. The Indian view of this seems to be of a cultural victory; as Shah Rukh Khan (1996) expresses in his piece, 'Soon Hollywood will come to us'; but economically this is no great victory for India over the West, since profits from what appears a quintessentially Indian product will now go back to the West.

The Indian cinema box office was not essentially diminished by the rise of video in the 1970s, but now Bollywood must accommodate satellite and cable expansion. Rupert Murdoch's Star network attempted to sell Western programmes in India, but could only attract élite minority audiences; but when an Indian company set up a Hindi satellite channel, Zee TV, they attracted a far larger market, and were the impetus for a whole industry of Indian satellite and cable channels, which Star have now bought into. As interviews with Zee TV and Star TV spokesmen (they were all men) showed, both Indian and Western companies interpreted this as a victory. The Indian company believed they had beaten Star at their own game and reaped the rewards. Star felt they had finally found a way to infiltrate the Indian market, by using an Indian figurehead company. The successful move of multinational media companies into the Indian market was ultimately demonstrated, however, when the 42nd Annual Filmfare Awards, otherwise known as 'the Indian Oscars', were screened exclusively on Sony Entertainment Television's Hindi Channel in March 1997.

Popular discourses of Hollywood/Bollywood opposition

Both Hollywood and Bollywood have made their direct opposition explicit in India, and their rivalry has passed into popular cultural vocabulary. The promotion poster for Stallone's Cliffhanger (1993) reads 'Hollywood challenges Bollywood'; Hollywood's decision to choose Cliffhanger as the vehicle for its challenge was

perhaps based action content ments of the challenge faile Indian music mercially such Within Inc

become emb become figu Madhuri Dix guy on patri already mer a Movie mag hardware co film magaz bines a phe pride. Dec

> Who w World knows

> > Holly

culture political in The I First W and lab for the econo work econo

> Bol sta ne an

in m

h

perhaps based on a superficial reading of contemporary Indian film as high in perhaps based on the following of contemporary Indian film as high in action of the 'Masala' mix, such as song and emotional melodan with other electors of cited. In contrast, as one Indian perhar content, when the consideration its juxtaposition with other elements of the 'Masala' mix, such as song and emotional melodrama. Cliffhanger's ments of the interest, as one Indian trade paper commented, a series of music cassettes entitled 'Bollywood vs Hollywood' have be series of mellenge tance.

challenge tan mercially successful.

ercially successful successful for the commercial success of Indian cinema has Within Indian For Within India become figureheads in what is viewed as a battle against Westernisation. Actress become figures.

become Madhuri Diag.

Madhur guy on patrioted the nationalist sentiments expressed by actor Shah Rukh Khan in already mento-already mento-already mento-already mento-already mento-already mento-already mento-already mento-already mento-already magazine feature. Another instance is an advert for BPL (an Indian electrical a Movie magazine, an advert for BPL (an Indian electrical hardware company) which appeared in G magazine, a leading Indian English-language hardware compared to the photograph of film star Amitabh Bachchan with the photograph of the photograph of the photograph with the photograph with the photograph of the photograph with t film magazine a photograph of film star Amitabh Bachchan with discourses around national pride. December's advert concludes:

Who would have guessed a few centuries ago that India would become a poor, Third-World country? And who knows what India will become in the next century? Who knows what may happen if we believe in ourselves?

Hollywood's failure to supersede Bollywood reveals that an existing Third World culture can be a crucial factor in halting Western cultural imperialism, even when political and economic barriers are lifted. Barnouw and Krishnaswamy (1963) describe in The Indian Film how Hollywood monopolised the world cinema market during the First World War, while other film producers were handicapped by the loss of resources and labour-power to the war effort, and successfully defined the cinematic experience for the rest of the world according to their product, so that, in effect, politics shaped economics shaped culture. However, Hollywood has not defined what makes a film work in India, where, conversely, cultural disparity, rather than any political or economic factor, has slowed Western commercial expansion. [...]

Conclusion

Bollywood is a wild-card in the globalisation process of the media. Its position is constantly shifting: influenced by its diasporic audiences, by Western moves into India, by newly emerging cultural dialogues between East and West, and by new technologies and their implications. Its relationship with the West has undergone radical changes in the last four years, which will no doubt change its future, although quite probably on its own terms rather than those of the West. Bollywood does not see itself as a minority cinema, but claims the right to be taken seriously as a commercial popular cinema. It demonstrates, finally, that the use of culture as a global force, and as a hegemonic force, is not confined to the West alone.

The existence of another economically imperialist international cinema outside The existence of another economically line of another economically line of another economically line of another economically line of the existence of the Hollywood is in itself no cause for celebration and Hindu nationalism, élitism Hollywood. Problematic issues around Bollywood over. It has been my interpretable the should not be glossed over. Hollywood. Problematic issues around body.

Hollywood. Problematic issues around body.

Hollywood. Problematic issues around body.

Censorship and corruption should not be glossed over. It has been my intention censorship and corruption should not be glossed over. It has been my intention censorship and corruption should not be glossed over. censorship and corruption should not be general and corruption and corruption should not be general and corruption should not be general and corruption should not be general and corruption and corruption should not be general and corruption should not be general and corruption and corruption and corruption should not be general and corruption should not be general and corruption and corruption and corruption should not be general and corruption and corruption and corruption should not be general and corruption and corruption should not be general and corruption and co instead to suggest a reappraisal of current and instead to suggest a reappraisal of current and oppositional cinema, by highlighting how unstable West, between commercial and oppositional cinema, by highlighting how unstable West, between commercial and oppositions with these positions look when viewed from an entirely different perspective, a perspective these positions look when viewed from an entirely different perspective, a perspective taken, as far as possible, from within India.

ken, as far as possible, from within his about A reappraisal of Indian cinema may challenge our assumptions not only about A reappraisal of Indian Chiefila hay about What First World and Third World cultural politics, but also our assumptions about what First World and Inira world cultural reconstitutes commercial, and what oppositional, or 'art' cinema, for, as I have disconstitutes commercial, and what oppositional, or 'art' cinema, for, as I have disconstitutes commercial, and what oppositional cultural reconstitutes commercial cultural reconstitutes cultural reconstitutes cultural reconstitutes cultural reconstitutes cultural reconstitutes cultural recons constitutes commercial, and what off customercial, and customercia India been consumed by mass audiences with greater enthusiasm than what we understand as overtly commercial Hollywood films.

I have left the issue of quality out of my discussion of Bollywood, largely because I do not presume to make value judgements on a cultural product designed for consumption by a culture relatively alien to my own experience. Bollywood films have, historically, been dismissed as formulaic and poor quality, and their audience, by inference, as unsophisticated. However, not only can the Bollywood audience watch a film for longer, generally, than a Western audience (Hindi films are uniformly three hours long), it is tolerant of, in fact hungry for, film which in the West is considered too 'challenging' for mainstream, commercial audiences. Which begs the question: which is, in fact, the more truly 'sophisticated' cinema audience? Hollywood's, or Bollywood's?